

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/716,694  | 11/19/2003      | Walter Douglas Bauman | 60,130-1779;03MRA0263  | 6579            |
| 26096   | 7590 11/17/2004 | EXAMINER              |                        |                 |
| CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.<br>400 WEST MAPLE ROAD |                 |                       | KRAMER, DEVON C        |                 |
| SUITE 350   | IN LE NOILE     |                       | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER    |
| BIRMINGHA   | M, MI 48009     |                       | 3683                   |                 |
|   |                 |                       | DATE MAILED: 11/17/200 | 4               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | 10/716,694   | BAUMAN, WALTER DOUGLAS   |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Devon C Kramer   | 3683   |  |  |  |
| Period fo                                     | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply   | appears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication operiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1   | 18 August 2004   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | <u>-</u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7—  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi                                     | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)[   | Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5\⊠   | <ul> <li>4a) Of the above daim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>5-14</u> is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ion Papers  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |  |
|   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Exar  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2004 is/a   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 441   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co   |  | •  |  |  |  |
| יייי  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | e Examiner. Note the attached C  | Drice Action or form P1O-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι                                    | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force.  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum.  2. Certified copies of the priority docum.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu   | nents have been received.<br>nents have been received in App<br>priority documents have been re  | olication No   |  |  |  |
| * 5   | See the attached detailed Office action for a   | •  | ceived.  |  |  |  |
|   |   | 2 Commod copies not re-  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen                                     | t(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notic                                      | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Sun   | nmary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |
|   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE  |  | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |
|   | r No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (JP 2002-89611) in view of Monaco et al (6357612).

In re claim 1, Tanaka provides a gas spring comprising a piston (5) cylinder (1); a rod (6) which moves a piston within the piston cylinder along an axis to define a working volume (2, 3); an outer cylinder (17) mounted about the piston cylinder and coaxial to the axis to define an auxiliary volume (24); and a temperature compensation valve (15) mounted within the piston-cylinder to selectively communicate the working volume with the auxiliary volume in response to a predetermined temperature (abstract).

Tanaka lacks the teaching of a radial passage through the piston cylinder traverse to the axis to provide communication with the outer cylinder. Please note that in piston cylinder devices with a reservoir or compensation chamber disposed in an outer cylinder, a common arrangement is to provide the valve either at the end of the cylinder, as taught by Tanaka, or to provide the valve on a side portion of the cylinder.

Monaco et al teaches mounting a valve in a duel cylinder arrangement on the inner cylinder to provide communication between the inner and outer cylinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the valve of Tanaka on the inner cylinder sidewall as taught by Monaco et al as a design choice and to provide a plurality of valves and passages in order to change the effect at various temperatures and further to decrease the length of the device.

In re claim 2, Tanaka et al provides an arrangement where the auxiliary volume extends the length of the piston-cylinder. (Figure 1)

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3) Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4) Claims 5-13 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

5) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3683

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DK

ROBERT A SICONOLA

ROBERT EXAMINER